

☐ SECRET

#10097

☒ CONFIDENTIAL☐ UNCLASSIFIEDApproved For Release 2005/06/06 : CIA-RDP78B04779A002500090021-4
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

25X

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

18 October 1967

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

4

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

30 November 1967

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

Declass Review by NGA.

TY

Prototype Development Wide Print Straightener

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES☒ NOTHE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 100%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - 100%

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE☒ YES ☐ NO☐ COST ☐ AWARD FEE ☒ PERFORMANCE ☐ DELIVERYNOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING4. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY2. ☐ EXCELLENT5. ☒ AVERAGE3. ☐ VERY GOOD6. ☐ MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE☐ TERMINATE☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

3 October 1967

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

☐ SECRET☒ CONFIDENTIAL☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2005/06/06 : CIA-RDP78B04776A002500090021-4

NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM☐ FINAL

It was mentioned in the last Inspection Report that the feed tray was only 30 inches wide and consequently wider prints (30 1/8") were not easily fed into the machine. The contractor has since widened the tray to about 30 1/2".

The contractor delivered the print straightener on 17 July 1967. Several problems became evident after running the equipment for several hours. When the belt became saturated with water it stiffened and required more power to run. The drive system began to slip when the belt was saturated. The contractor replaced this belt with a thinner one and this has apparently solved the problem. The contractor also furnished a guide to allow the prints to be fed into the straightener with less difficulty.

The Equipment Performance Staff informed me that they plan to perform an acceptance test of the equipment within the month of October.

Approved For Release 2005/06/06 : CIA-RDP78B04776A002500090021-4

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☒ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET